



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/976,997	10/11/2001	Michael J. Greenside	100110073-1	3308
7590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER LEE, JINHEE J		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/976,997	GREENSIDE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jinhee J Lee	2831	pu			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	anuary 2004					
2a)□		s action is non-final.					
3)	,—		osecution as to the r	merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· <u> </u>	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provincknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1				

Application/Control Number: 09/976,997 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant in his remarks states that "claims 1-4 and 6-8 remain pending in the Application." In finding no written record of cancellation of withdrawn claims 9-20. Examiner cancels claims 9-20 to make the record consistent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bostrom et al. (US005856632).

Re claim 1, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: a filler panel body (40, card cage shielding contactor for example); and a locating element (lead-in flap 82 for example including part of 42) coupled to said filler panel body, said locating element adapted to orient said filler panel body with respect to a chassis (20) such that interference generating movement of said filler panel body is reduced (see figures 1-2 and 8). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 2, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: an attaching device (fastener 30) adapted to be coupled to said filler panel body, said attaching

Art Unit: 2831

device for removably coupling said filler panel body to said chassis (see figure 1). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 3, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: an electromagnetic interference shield portion (40 for example) coupled to said filler panel body, said shield portion adapted to prevent EMI leakage from said chassis (see figures 1-2 and 8). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 6, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: said locating element with head portion (unnumbered portion, part of 42 for example); an insertion portion (82 for example) coupled to said head portion, said insertion portion adapted to be inserted into an opening in said chassis to reduce said interference generating movement of said filler panel body with respect to said chassis (see figures 1-2 and 8). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Re claim 7, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: said locating element coupled to said filler panel body such that said head portion is flush with said filler panel body (see figures 1-2 and 8).

Art Unit: 2831

Re claim 8, Bostrom et al. discloses an assembly comprising: said locating element with a retention portion (unnumbered portion between 82 and part of 42 for example) coupled to said head portion and adapted to enhance coupling of said locating element and said filler panel body (see figures 1-2 and 8). Note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bostrom et al.

Art Unit: 2831

Re claim 4, Bostrom et al. substantially discloses an assembly as set forth in claim 1 with said locating element coupled to said filler panel body at a location such that said locating element will insert into a mounting hole disposed on said chassis. Bostrom et al. does not explicitly disclose that the assembly is in accordance with a compact peripheral component interconnect standard. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the assembly that is in accordance with a compact peripheral component interconnect standard, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/26/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding "adapted for ...", note that, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding "unused openings must be covered with a blank circuit card bracket", note that, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Application/Control Number: 09/976,997 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the card cage shielding contactor cannot be interposed between each bracket and the computer chassis") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEAN A. REICHARD
RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER